UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: Case No. 08-35653(KRH)

Chapter 11

CIRCUIT CITY STORES, Jointly Administered

INC., et al.,

701 East Broad Street

Richmond, VA 23219

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors: Tavenner and Beran, PLC

By: PAULA S. BERAN, ESQ. LYNN L. TAVENNER, ESQ.

20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

Pachulski Stang Ziehl and Jones LLP

By: JEFFREY N. POMERANTZ, ESQ.

ANDREW W. CAINE, ESQ. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard

Los Angeles, CA 90067

For the Official

Creditors:

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, LLP

Committee of Unsecured By: ROBERT J. FEINSTEIN, ESQ.

780 Third Ave., 36th Floor

New York, NY 10017

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

COURT CLERK: All rise. Court is now in session. 2 Please be seated and come to order.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: In the matter of Circuit City Stores, Incorporated, hearing on items as set out on proposed agenda.

MS. BERAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. BERAN: For the record Paula Beran on behalf of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust. And with me at counsel table this afternoon is Ms. Katie Bradshaw who has previously been introduced to this Court. Your Honor, there was an amended agenda filed earlier this morning, and I apologize, I did not bring copies with me. And I apologize to the extent the Court has not had a chance to review it.

I will note just before I begin and then I'll note when I go through the agenda, there was just -- one item was inadvertently left off and that was added on by agreement with counsel and it requests basically a continuance until the January 13th omni date. And then there were a couple of additional settlements that occurred yesterday, and so those are so noted on the amended Exhibit A.

THE COURT: All right.

The first item on the docket is the MS. BERAN: motion for allowance of the late filed claim -- expense claim of Metra Electronics Corporation. We'd respectfully request

1 that that be adjourned until the February 24th omnibus hearing In connection with that, Your Honor, there was a complaint filed against Metra Electronics Corporation and 4 they're currently in discussions for a global resolution of all issues outstanding with Metra. And by agreement with Mr. Gray we respectfully request that that be continued to the February 24th omnibus hearing date.

THE COURT: All right, it's continued.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. In connection with the next item, Site A, LLC second amended motion to allow late filing proof of claim, or in the alternative motion to file late proof of claim as an amendment to an informal proof of claim, that matter is continued to be in discussions and negotiations concerning a resolution of the same with Mr. Campson (phonetic). And by agreement with Mr. Campson we'd respectfully request that that matter be continued or adjourned until the January 13th omnibus hearing date.

> THE COURT: It'll be continued.

Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BERAN: In connection with the third item, it's the application for administrative expense or motion for allowance of payment of administrative expense claim filed by Mr. Westermann on behalf of Marblegate Asset Management, similarly, Your Honor, there was a complaint filed against Kodak -- Eastman Kodak as well as Marblegate, Special Opportunities Master Fund, LLP. There are currently

ongoing negotiations concerning a global resolution of all 2 issues outstanding between the parties. And by agreement with Mr. Westermann and his co-counsel we'd respectfully request 4 that that be continued until January 13th.

4

THE COURT: That'll be continued.

1

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 4 is a new item that was added to the agenda. It is the complaint with the -- referencing the Sharp Electronics Corporation matter. In connection with that, Your Honor, previously Your Honor may recall there was a proposed settlement -- it hadn't been agreed to by Sharp -- between the debtor and Sharp and various other Sharp entities. I think it was the parent of Sharp Electronics Corporation. In connection with that the plan went effective after those negotiations and circulation of documents.

And given that the plan went effective the trustee at that point in time wanted to make sure and looked at things. There have been subsequent documents -- settlement documents exchanged, and I can't represent whether they're acceptable to Sharp or not, but by agreement with Mr. Perkins we respectfully request that this status on this complaint, as well as any potential settlement of the same be continued until January 13th.

> THE COURT: January 13th.

MS. BERAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, it'll be continued.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the debtors' objection to Claim 1283 of Quebecor World USA, that matter now has been resolved pursuant to procedures approved by this Court and it can be removed from Your Honor's docket.

THE COURT: It'll be removed.

19∥

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, six through 25 are the debtors' omnibus objections, and now the trust's omnibus objections to claims in connection with the same, Your Honor. Item Number 6, debtors' eighth omnibus objection to certain late claims, there still are pending three claims for which we respectfully request that we continue this matter until the January 13th omnibus hearing.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

MS. BERAN: Similarly, Your Honor, on Item Number 7 is the debtors' ninth omnibus objection. There are three claims for which the objection is still pending and we'd respectfully request that those be continued until January 13th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

THE COURT: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 8 is the debtors' nineteenth omnibus objection. In connection with the nineteenth omnibus objection, Your Honor, there is one claim that remains outstanding and we respectfully request the matter be continued for that one claim until January 13th at

two.

|

THE COURT: It'll be continued to the 13th.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 9 is debtors' twenty-second omnibus objection. There is still one claim remaining as indicated on Exhibit A and we respectfully request as it relates to that one claim that that be continued until January 13th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

MS. BERAN: Item Number 10 is the twenty-third omnibus objection. In connection with that matter, Your Honor, at the last hearing there was one claim for which an objection was still pending, and that is D-Link Systems. That matter has been resolved and settled pursuant to procedures approved by this Court, so that matter can come off the Court's docket.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BERAN: Number 11 is debtors' thirtieth omnibus objection. There are still a handful of claims remaining as it relates to that one as identified on Exhibit A, and we'd respectfully request that it be continued to January 13th for those matters.

THE COURT: It'll be continued to the 13th.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, on Number -- Item Number 12 is debtors' thirty-first omnibus objection to certain claims. For those claims in which an objection is still pending other than the PNY Technologies, which I'd like to address with Your

1 Honor separately, we would respectfully request that those $2 \parallel$ subject to that objection be continued until January 13th at eleven -- January 13th, 2011, at two o'clock.

> THE COURT: Okav.

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

MS. BERAN: For PNY, Your Honor, as Your Honor may recall pursuant to a court scheduling order in Adversary Proceeding Number 10-03056, which was an adversary filed by the debtor back in early 2010, this matter is currently scheduled for trial on January 24th and 25th, 2011. I'm happy to report to Your Honor -- and it's not just lip sync up here -- the parties are currently in serious settlement negotiations, and the parties based on the current status of those negotiations are optimistic and/or hopeful that we can settle that matter.

But, being mindful that Your Honor as well as just the practice in the Eastern District just to keep things on a path towards resolution without a continuance merely for settlement discussions if those settlement discussions aren't going to be fruitful, the parties have discussed and would be in agreement if Your Honor is so inclined that first and foremost, we would vacate the January trial date, continue negotiations in earnest, and have dates certain upon which if we -- if the parties are not able to reach a settlement on or before January 31st then we would submit to mediation pursuant 24∥ to similar procedures approved by Your Honor in connection with the 565 adversaries that were filed by the trust.

Similarly, Your Honor, to keep it on a path towards $2 \parallel$ resolution one way or the other, whether consensual or whether it be through trial before Your Honor, then that requirement 4 that said mediation was to occur no later than March 1st, 2011, $5\parallel$ and if it hadn't settled by March 1st, 2011 that a new trial date approximately 60 to 90 days after the March 1st date would be requested from Your Honor and then we would go forward with trial of this matter at that date.

THE COURT: Well, why wouldn't I just keep the present trial date? Isn't that the better way to get this thing resolved between now and then?

MS. BERAN: In connection with the current trial date that is in January.

> THE COURT: I know.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERAN: And the parties are negotiating and are discussing in earnest the concept, though, I --

THE COURT: We would just give them a little more earnests.

MS. BERAN: I think both counsel thinks that a mediator would be beneficial to maybe further explain some of the nuances associated with the issues in it. So, counsel is trying to come to a resolution, but if we can't come to a resolution the concept would be to get a mediator. And I don't think that we feel as though we could get a mediator up to speed and have it resolved before the January 24th date, in

fair candor to the Court.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12 **|**

13

15

17

19

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Who's counsel for PNY?

MS. BERAN: Counsel for PNY I believe it's -- and I 4 apologize, Your Honor, I have so many of these coming for cross -- I believe local counsel is Neil McCullagh, and his co-counsel is McCarter English.

THE COURT: And your proposal at this point is to release those two trial dates, not set any other trial date, but to order mediation. And the mediation has to occur when?

MS. BERAN: On or before January -- no, the parties 11 would have until January 31 or maybe even just pick that date, but maybe before the trial date, before the 24th either are settled or it goes to a mediator and the mediation would have to be concluded before March 1st.

THE COURT: I'm having real trouble with all of this, why this is going to take that long to -- I mean if it's going to settle it's going to settle. I don't understand. All we're $18 \parallel$ doing is delaying the settlement discussions in the case.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, I can understand and appreciate your concern, and the trust is trying to move this along. The trust -- it's the thing -- one thing we would respectfully request then is allow some time for the mediator to come in.

THE COURT: Well, what I'm going to do is I'm going 25∥ to deny your oral motion this morning. You can submit a

1 written motion asking for leave to mediate with specific dates $2 \parallel$ when the mediation is going to occur. And then if I grant that I'm going to set a trial date if it doesn't -- not resolved in 4 mediation. I'm very reluctant to release my trial date in this case, but I'm going to give you the opportunity to at least convince me. And you can submit that on papers jointly and -because I do want to know that both sides are in agreement with doing it.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 **|**

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERAN: Yes, Your Honor. I mean, I can represent that there -- I do have e-mail confirmation from -- I believe it's McCarter English that -- asking -- agreeing to the proposal that I just set out there, but nonetheless --

THE COURT: Oh, I'm confident you do. I want a formal motion though --

MS. BERAN: Certainly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- requesting it, because if I grant it I'm going to set a specific mediation date and say you're going to do it that day and to get this resolved.

Thank you, Your Honor. MS. BERAN: Okay. Honor, as it relates to the thirty-first omnibus objection on all the remaining claims as I indicate on Exhibit A we respectfully request that they be continued until the January 13th, 2011 date.

> All of those will be continued. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. In connection

1 with debtors' thirty-third omnibus objection to claims there is $2 \parallel$ still one objection pending. We respectfully request that that be adjourned to the January 13th date, as well.

> THE COURT: That'll be adjourned to the 13th.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Debtors' thirty-sixth omnibus objection to certain claims. As it relates to that, Your Honor, there is still a handful of them that are outstanding as of today, and we'd respectfully request as identified on Exhibit A that those matters be continued to January 13th at two.

> THE COURT: It'll be continued.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Similarly -- I beg the Court's indulgence one moment, please, because there's several I wanted to report on.

(Pause)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, in connection with Item Number 15, debtors' thirty-seventh omnibus objection, there still are certain claims that have been -- that are still pending, and we'd respectfully request that it be -- those be continued until January 13th, 2011 at two. I would report to the Court that since the last omnibus hearing date in connection with that omnibus date -- omnibus objection, 18 of those claims have since been resolved. And so as represented by Mr. Caine and myself we are -- the trust is diligently trying to address these claims and clean up Your Honor's docket in these cases for resolution of the same. And to the extent
Your Honor wanted any additional report I do have the specific
Is claims and the resolutions of those here for presentation
today if Your Honor were so inclined.

THE COURT: No, that's not necessary, but I applaud your good efforts.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. In connection with Item Number 16, the debtors' forty-ninth omnibus objection to certain claims, there is one claim that was previously pending at the last omnibus objection. This matter has been resolved as it relates to that claim and it has been approved pursuant to procedures approved by this Court, and therefore this matter can be withdrawn from Your Honor's docket.

THE COURT: Very good.

MS. BERAN: In connection with Item Number 17, debtors' fiftieth omnibus objection, as it relates to the one remaining matter subject to this objection as identified on Exhibit A we'd respectfully request that we adjourn that until January 13th at two o'clock.

THE COURT: It'll be adjourned.

MS. BERAN: Item Number 18, debtors' sixtieth omnibus objection. There are still a couple claims for which this objection is still pending and we'd respectfully request that it -- the -- those claims be adjourned to the January 13th at two o'clock hearing date.

THE COURT: It'll be adjourned.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 19, debtors' seventieth omnibus objection. There are still a few claims pending for that omnibus objection as well, and we'd respectfully request that those be continued until the January 13th omni as identified on Exhibit A.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. On Item Number 20 is debtors' seventy-fourth omnibus objection to certain claims. There are several claims pending as it relates to that, and we'd respectfully request that it be continued for those claims identified on Exhibit A until January 13th at two o'clock.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 21 is debtors' seventy-sixth omnibus objection. There are two claims which remains still as it relates to that objection, and we'd respectfully request as it relates to those two claims that the matter be adjourned until January 13th at two.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 22 is the debtors' seventy-eighth omnibus objection. There is still one claim that is pending as it relates to that omnibus objection. We'd respectfully request that that one claim be continued until January 13th at two.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 **|**

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Your Honor. Item Number 23, MS. BERAN: 2 debtors' seventy-ninth omnibus objection to claims. relates to that, Your Honor, there have been -- as identified 4 there, there have been certain resolutions, but there are still a couple claims that are still pending, and we'd respectfully request that this matter be adjourned to January 13th at two for those, as well.

> It will be continued. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. On Item Number 24, debtors' eighteenth omnibus objection to claims, in connection with that there is still one claim for which the objection is still pending, and we'd respectfully request for that one claim as identified in Exhibit A that the hearing be continued until January 13th.

THE COURT: It'll be continued.

Thank you, Your Honor. And then the last MS. BERAN: remaining item is Item Number 25, debtors' eighty-first omnibus In connection with that, Your Honor, similarly objection. there is one claim for which the objection is still pending. We'd respectfully request that the matter be adjourned to January 13th at two o'clock p.m.

> It'll be adjourned. THE COURT:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, that concludes the items that are officially on the Court's docket today. I would like to report one unfortunate situation to the

Court and then basically suggest two remedies for the situation.

1

2

3

7

11

12

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

In connection with the procedures that were 4 previously approved by Your Honor addressing these adversary $5\parallel$ proceedings it has come to light by -- not by any of the defendants, but by actually consultation between myself and one of the other lawyers from one of the other law firms who have been handling this that there actually was a typo in the procedures, and it is a significant typo, and that deals with the procedures, and specifically dealing with 7004. As Your Honor is aware, 7004(e) is what addresses the 14 day requirement to serve a summons, and I think even when I stood before Your Honor I referenced (e) and we are talking about extending the 14 days by an additional 30 days.

The procedures actually have (m) as in Mary as opposed to (e) as in Edward. And as Your Honor is aware, (m) as in Mary extends the 120 day deadline to file the complaint, not the summons perspective. In connection with the same, I think under a motion to reconsider it clearly is a clerical error on the part of the trust's counsel, and we would respectfully submit that the Court could grant a motion to amend and simply correct the (m) to (e). We're happy to either do that by motion notice and have it heard before the Court or we're happy to submit an amended order which basically would just change (e) -- (m) to (e) in connection with the previously entered order.

1

2

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, I think that there should be a motion. I think you can just do a motion and an order. I don't think it needs to be noticed to everybody in the whole $5\parallel$ wide world if everybody wants to object to that. I think it is just a clerical error. But, I think just so that we have it clear on the record why we're amending the order the motion should be included.

MS. BERAN: Certainly, Your Honor. And we're happy 10∥ to do that. We regret that that happened and I don't think it prejudices anybody to make that change other -- unfortunately if that change wasn't made the only entity or party that would -- the only party -- person in interest would be the clerk's office because in essence what would have to happen is we would file a request and -- 565 requests for alias summonses to be issued and that would be unfortunate.

THE COURT: Yes, I understand that. So, we can get that taken care of because that certainly was not the intention, and obviously I missed that when I entered the order.

MS. BERAN: And I apologize, Your Honor. I mean, that went through several eyes and it wasn't picked up on. I think actually there was a drafter who was trying to be thorough and went in and added it after it had already been reviewed by several eyes, and we apologize for that error being submitted to the Court.

|

THE COURT: All right. Is there any other business we need to take up today?

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, I believe that's all there is, and hopefully for the rest of this year.

THE COURT: All right. Let me revisit with you for just a moment your request for the continuance of the trial date with PNY Technologies. I do want a motion requesting mediation, but if it's a joint motion by the parties I would be inclined to look favorably upon it provided that the mediation would take place, you know, on or before the scheduled trial date. And then we'd schedule a pretrial conference in the PNY adversary proceeding for whatever's the next omnibus date that Circuit City would have the -- where I would reset a trial date if the parties have not been able to successfully mediate the case at the mediation conference.

MS. BERAN: Your Honor, and I -- let me make sure I'm clear here. It'd be subject to a joint motion, which if agreeable by both parties, and the mediation would be on or before the January 24th date. And to the extent the status would be --

THE COURT: The idea being that you can actually use the 24th because that date is obviously one that you've got blocked off. If -- but you could do it before then if you thought that it was better to do it beforehand.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT:

MS. BERAN:

MS. BERAN: Thank you, Your Honor. And then also --2 the request for the -- a pretrial conference to be scheduled at the next omnibus, and that's a February -- I don't --THE COURT: Whatever it is. MS. BERAN: There's one early February. It's --February 24th I know is one, but there's an earlier February, a 6 couple of weeks earlier, that we would request that this matter be -- the status be continued over until. THE COURT: That's right. MS. BERAN: Okay. Certainly, Your Honor. Ι 11 | appreciate that. THE COURT: And then as far as the mediator is concerned, you can use one of the mediators that we have that 14∥ we've appointed in the other matters. And you don't have to say who it's going to be in your motion, but just that the 15 parties will agree and use those procedures to select a 17 **I** mediator and go forward. Certainly, Your Honor. MS. BERAN: All right. Is there anything else then? THE COURT: MS. BERAN: No, Your Honor, that's all that I'm aware of. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your time. MS. BERAN:

WWW.JJCOURT.COM

Thank you. You, too.

Have a very pleasant holiday.

COURT CLERK: All rise. Court is now adjourned. CERTIFICATION I, KATHLEEN BETZ, court approved transcriber, 5 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the 6 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the $7 \parallel$ above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability. /s/ Kathleen Betz DATE: January 8, 2011 10 KATHLEEN BETZ 11 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.